INVITED REVIEW

Temporal collections to study invasion biology

Revised: 7 October 2023

Amy S. Kim¹ | Julia M. Kreiner¹ | Fernando Hernández¹ | Dan G. Bock¹ | Kathryn A. Hodgins² | Loren H. Rieseberg¹

¹Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

²School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Correspondence

Amy S. Kim, Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. Email: amy.s.kim@botany.ubc.ca

Handling Editor: Joanna Freeland

Abstract

Biological invasions represent an extraordinary opportunity to study evolution. This is because accidental or deliberate species introductions have taken place for centuries across large geographical scales, frequently prompting rapid evolutionary transitions in invasive populations. Until recently, however, the utility of invasions as evolutionary experiments has been hampered by limited information on the makeup of populations that were part of earlier invasion stages. Now, developments in ancient and historical DNA technologies, as well as the quickening pace of digitization for millions of specimens that are housed in herbaria and museums globally, promise to help overcome this obstacle. In this review, we first introduce the types of temporal data that can be used to study invasions, highlighting the timescale captured by each approach and their respective limitations. We then discuss how ancient and historical specimens as well as data available from prior invasion studies can be used to answer questions on mechanisms of (mal)adaptation, rates of evolution, or community-level changes during invasions. By bridging the gap between contemporary and historical invasive populations, temporal data can help us connect pattern to process in invasion science. These data will become increasingly important if invasions are to achieve their full potential as experiments of evolution in nature.

KEYWORDS

ancient DNA, herbarium records, historical DNA, invasive species, museum genomics, temporal contrasts

1 | INTRODUCTION

The potential value of invasive species as natural evolutionary experiments has been recognized for more than a century, as illustrated by Grinnell (1919), *The English Sparrow Has Arrived in Death Valley: An Experiment in Nature.*"

Grinnell writes:

"How intensely interesting it will be to watch the course of this 'experiment,' now under way, irrespective of human effort, in Death Valley, with 'controls' vigorously maintaining themselves (against man's wish!) in San Diego, Berkeley and Boston."

Grinnell's suggestion proved to be prescient, with the English sparrow offering an early example of the remarkable speed of contemporary evolution (Johnston & Selander, 1964). This finding has since been replicated for a variety of other biological invasions (Barrett et al., 2016; Lee, 2002; Prentis et al., 2008; Turner et al., 2014), with selection differentials found to be much higher on average than those reported for non-invasive populations (Colautti &

Amy S. Kim, Julia M. Kreiner, Fernando Hernández, Dan G. Bock, Kathryn A. Hodgins, and Loren H. Rieseberg contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2023 The Authors. *Molecular Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

² WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

Lau, 2015; Whitney & Gabler, 2008). An arguably more surprising finding is that population bottlenecks appear to have little impact on short-term evolutionary change, at least for quantitative traits (Dlugosch et al., 2015; Hodgins et al., 2018). Other contributions to our understanding of evolutionary processes from studies of invasive species include evidence of the importance of standing genetic variation in fuelling rapid evolution (Bock et al., 2015; Tepolt et al., 2022), the unforeseen extent to which evolution repeats itself (James et al., 2023; van Boheemen & Hodgins, 2020), and the pervasiveness of ecological constraints on responses to natural selection (Colautti & Lau, 2015).

Despite these advances, an imperfection in many studies of invasive species is that evolutionary change is typically inferred from comparisons of populations from the native and invaded ranges at a single (present-day) timepoint, equating differences across space and time (Hodgins et al., 2018; Lee, 2002; Prentis et al., 2008). This can lead to faulty inferences about evolutionary rates and the origins of adaptive genetic variation if, for example, the exact progenitor populations have been incorrectly identified, no longer exist, or have undergone significant evolutionary change themselves (Dlugosch et al., 2015). Likewise, without data from additional timepoints, it may not be possible to make inferences about the tempo of evolution or the order in which evolutionary changes accumulate. For example, it might be that most evolutionary change occurs shortly after a population is introduced, with slower evolution as the population approaches the optimal phenotype for the new environment (Orr. 1998).

Fortunately, for many biological invasions, additional timepoints can be obtained from historical samples, such as museum and herbarium specimens (North et al., 2021). A burst of recent studies have demonstrated the additional explanatory power offered by temporal information as well as potential pitfalls (Battlay et al., 2023; Bieker et al., 2022; Kreiner et al., 2022). Here, we first review the types of temporal data potentially obtainable for such studies, the temporal scale that can be assayed, and possible biases that may arise when using historical samples. We then describe potential applications, followed by a discussion of promising future directions.

TYPES OF TEMPORAL DATA 2

Biological invasions are complex phenomena that result from the interplay between ecological and evolutionary processes (Barrett, 2015; Lambrinos, 2004) across distinct timescales. Early processes such as preadaptation, introduction, and establishment may often occur over millennia and centuries-long timescales (e.g., Clavero & Villero, 2013), while the ensuing effects of introduced species on the native community and feedbacks between adaptation and range expansion should continue to play out over more recent timescales. Recent advancements in the fields of evolutionary genetics and ecology have enabled the utilization of not only phenotypic but also genetic and genomic data from across these scales, including archaeological remains that can span thousands of

years, museum and herbarium collections that span the last 2-3 centuries and long-term field collections that can span years to decades (Figure 1). These rich data sources offer a promising pathway for unravelling the dynamic processes driving invasions (Figure 1).

Ancient DNA from archaeological samples has been leveraged to disentangle the invasion biology of humans worldwide (e.g., Rasmussen et al., 2010; reviewed in Slatkin & Racimo, 2016). Plants and animals are often preserved alongside these human remains (e.g., Jiang et al., 2016), providing an opportunity for direct observation of the consequences of human-mediated selection (Abbona et al., 2021; Purugganan & Fuller, 2011). While invasion biologists typically focus on unintentional introductions, humans have knowingly altered the distributions of organisms across the globe for millennia, facilitating introduction and adaptation in new environments (Gravuer et al., 2008). This intentional alteration is evident in domesticated species, where morphological and genetic data from archaeological remains have allowed for tracing their global spread (da Fonseca et al., 2015; Kistler, Thakar, et al., 2020), inferring the timescale of population genetic changes (Pérez-Escobar et al., 2021; Wales et al., 2019), and even reconstructing phenotypes and the degree of local adaptation (Swarts et al., 2017). Such approaches have tremendous promise for invasive species. One such notable example comes from black rats, for which archaeological data spanning 17 centuries revealed the introduction history and source of invasive European populations (Yu et al., 2022). While the guality of degraded ancient DNA is always an important consideration in such studies (Dehasque et al., 2020), a further limitation includes the difficulty of phenotyping due to variable preservation guality. As well, archaeological collections for most organisms are sparsely distributed. Because a priori sampling plans cannot be developed for such data, disentangling the role of spatial and temporal heterogeneity remains challenging (see also the discussion of sampling bias for herbarium and museum collections below).

Herbarium and museum collections are especially well-suited for contemporary invasion dynamics of plants and animals (Alves et al., 2019; Holmes et al., 2016; Lalueza-Fox, 2022; Lang et al., 2019). Unlike archaeological remains, these collections provide ample samples in space and time and abundant opportunities for phenotyping (Heberling, 2022). Also, compared with ancient DNA, which is typically retrieved from archaeological samples that can be thousands or millions of years old (Raxworthy & Smith, 2021), genetic material obtained from herbarium and museum collections that span 2-3 centuries (i.e., historical DNA; Raxworthy & Smith, 2021) displays relatively minimal chemical alteration (e.g., 1%-4% deamination at the first base; Gutaker & Burbano, 2017). As of 2021, over 3500 herbaria and 35,000 museums worldwide house approximately 400 million plants (Thiers, 2021) and over a billion animal specimens (Johnson et al., 2023). These collections thus provide unmatched sampling of genetic, morphological and community states across the contemporary globalized world (Kueffer, 2017), where opportunities for new introductions are constant and invasion into new habitats is facilitated by disturbance through industrialization, agriculturalization, urbanization and changing climate (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1 The use of temporal data in invasion biology. Ancient data, historical data and temporal contrasts are ordered to reflect the time scale that is typically captured by each approach. Arrows and boxes are used to provide examples of topics in invasion biology that can be investigated using each type of temporal data. The bottom graph shows the increase in the frequency of new invasions, as captured by dates of first record for established invasive species (data obtained from Seebens et al., 2017). No data is available prior to 1500.

Nonetheless, we emphasize that these collections are not uniformly distributed across space and time, and as such, careful curation of focal specimens should be considered to alleviate sampling bias (Daru et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2022). Furthermore, considerations should be taken prior to analysis for both genetic and morphological data: for DNA, either during sample library preparation and/ or mapping to account for deamination and relatively short DNA fragments, and for trait data, considering the impact of preservation-related modifications (Maayan et al., 2022). Lastly, recent studies have shown that specimen preparation and storage can also be a source of contamination in DNA obtained from natural history collections (Bieker et al., 2022), and as such, this possibility should also be accounted for.

Temporal contrasts from field studies are crucial for understanding past invasion history (Blossey, 1999) and for predicting future short-term responses to changing climates (Wallingford et al., 2020). Repeat sampling provides nuanced insights into the factors influencing longer term eco-evolutionary dynamics and spans timeframes longer than a typical experiment (Reinke et al., 2019). Compared to ancient and historical datasets, field studies that rely on temporal contrasts provide insight over much shorter timescales (several years to a few decades; Figure 1), but at much higher resolution and often with better quality. For example, in contrast to historical approaches, temporal field studies can achieve more comprehensive sampling within populations and across the landscape while also benefiting from uncompromised DNA quality (e.g., Bock et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2019; Rollins et al., 2016). Numerous applications of such in situ temporal studies approaches have demonstrated their eco-evolutionary utility (e.g., Alvarez & Cushman, 2002; Coltman et al., 1999; Haubrock & Soto, 2023) as well as their practical necessity for management (Latombe et al., 2017; Pinsky et al., 2021), and will only increase in their basic and practical importance as invasions become more extreme in future environments and as invasion biologists start to revisit earlier studies on widespread non-native species.

3 | APPLICATIONS OF TEMPORAL DATA IN INVASION BIOLOGY

3.1 | Genetic-level applications

3.1.1 | Demography

Reconstructing invasion histories—a key goal of which is to identify the source(s) of introduction and successive demographic changes that invasive populations experience—is critical to understanding the success or failure of biological invasions (Bock et al., 2015; Cristescu, 2015; Sherpa & Després, 2021). Obtaining this information using contemporary samples of long-established invasive WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

species is, however, challenging as processes like founder effects, genetic drift, expansion, admixture and selection can all interact throughout invasions, reshaping the genetic composition of introduced populations (Keller & Taylor, 2008; Sherpa & Després, 2021). Temporal data, including ancient and historical DNA, are ideally suited to tackle this complexity because these dynamics can be reconstructed and disentangled through direct observation of these dynamical shifts through time. For example, while multiple introductions and admixtures can often mask the prior occurrence of genetic bottlenecks (Dlugosch et al., 2015; Dlugosch & Parker, 2008; Estoup et al., 2016; Keller & Taylor, 2008), the analysis of historical samples collected during the earliest stages of invasions can mitigate this limitation, allowing researchers to directly evaluate the timing, magnitude, and persistence of genetic bottlenecks (Chan et al., 2006; Slatkin & Racimo, 2016; Wales et al., 2019). Furthermore, reconstructions of the invasion history can be obtained even when only a fraction of the genome can be interrogated. This includes lowcoverage (Muhlfeld et al., 2014) or highly degraded DNA (Slatkin & Racimo, 2016), as well as organellar genomes (Abreu-Jr et al., 2020; Wales et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2022). Indeed, some of the earliest demonstrations of the utility of temporal data for reconstructing invasion histories relied on microsatellite markers and first-generation sequencing of organellar genes (e.g., Lees et al., 2011; Rollins et al., 2009, 2011; Saltonstall, 2002).

Temporal datasets have the potential to reshape our understanding of invasive species by revealing cryptic and failed invasions (Grosser et al., 2016; Rawlence et al., 2017). For example, the use of archaeological samples to study New Zealand birds revealed that contemporary lineages of black swans (Rawlence et al., 2017) and Australian little penguins (Grosser et al., 2016) are in fact recent colonizers, while ecologically equivalent native taxa are declining or extinct. As well, historical data have been used to study invasions of the chytrid fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd), a generalist pathogen that has had a devastating effect on amphibian biodiversity worldwide. For instance, museum specimens dating since the 1800s were used to revise the earliest known dates of Bd introduction in amphibian populations in Mexico and the Bolivian Andes (Basanta et al., 2021; Burrowes & De la Riva, 2017). Similarly, temporal datasets can offer a unique perspective by allowing us to also study failed invasions (Alaniz et al., 2021; Zenni & Nuñez, 2013), as has now been observed for Daphnia curvirostris in the northeastern United States (Duffy et al., 2000).

One evolutionary process that often interacts with demography and is particularly relevant for invasive species is admixture (Ellstrand & Schierenbeck, 2000; Fournier & Aron, 2021). Admixture may disrupt favourable allelic combinations and epistatic gene interactions, leading to outbreeding depression, especially in locally adapted populations (Moran et al., 2021; Todesco et al., 2016). However, admixture may also facilitate biological invasions by alleviating demographic and genetic bottlenecks during introductions and by creating novel genetic combinations that fuel rapid adaptation during establishment and range expansion (Dlugosch & Parker, 2008; Ellstrand & Schierenbeck, 2000; Fournier & Aron, 2021; Pfennig et al., 2016).

Resolving the timing of admixture during biological invasions has remained a major challenge with contemporary data, and therefore its importance for different stages of the invasion is typically unknown. Studying temporal changes in genetic admixture may improve our understanding of the causes and consequences of admixture over the invasion process (Bieker et al., 2022; Kreiner et al., 2022; Muhlfeld et al., 2014). For example, in the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) invasion of Montana river systems, the analysis of historical samples revealed that hybridization with the native westslope cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarkii lewisi) was negligible for almost a century, but it quickly increased in the last 30 years, likely as a result of climate change (Muhlfeld et al., 2014). The analysis of herbarium accessions spanning the last two centuries revealed a complex admixture history in common ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia), involving prior admixture in the native area and changes in population structure due to interspecific hybridization in the invaded area (Bieker et al., 2022). Similarly, shifts in the distribution of ancestry across the geographic range in North America were a prerequisite to agricultural adaptation in Amaranthus tuberculatus (Kreiner et al., 2022; Figure 2). Excitingly, many cases of invasions involving admixture could be revisited by incorporating historical samples, allowing more general conclusions on the role of admixture during invasions to be developed.

3.1.2 Adaptation

Invasive species can display rapid adaptation to new environmental conditions within their introduced ranges, resulting in noticeable genetic and phenotypic changes within short time periods (Colautti & Barrett, 2013: Colautti & Lau, 2015: van Boheemen & Hodgins, 2020; Wu & Colautti, 2022). Analysing DNA changes in populations over time provides a direct means to investigate the genetic mechanisms underlying this adaptation. While this approach has traditionally been challenging for complex organisms in natural environments, evolutionary studies relying on genetic and genomic data increasingly use natural history collections of weedy and introduced species to study "evolution in action" (Alves et al., 2019; Battlay et al., 2023; Bieker et al., 2022; Kreiner et al., 2022; Rollins et al., 2016; Stuart et al., 2022; Vandepitte et al., 2014). These temporally resolved studies are providing a deeper understanding of the genetic architecture of adaptation to environmental change, including the extent of genetic parallelism during adaptation to similar types of environmental change as well as the factors that influence parallelism, such as the source of the adaptive alleles (new mutations or standing variation) and their effect size.

Standing genetic variation, which refers to existing genetic diversity within a population, plays a crucial role in rapid adaptation due to the time required for new mutations to arise (Barrett & Schluter, 2008). Genomic analysis of historical samples has revealed the importance of standing variation in facilitating rapid adaptation during range expansions of introduced species (Battlay et al., 2023; Cohen et al., 2022; Kreiner et al., 2022; Vandepitte et al., 2014).



FIGURE 2 Herbarium genomics allows for direct observation of shifting ancestry through time. Left panel depicts an eastward expansion of Amaranthus var. rudis ancestry, particularly between 1980 and 2018. Right panel demonstrates the effect of the range expansion on the distribution of ancestry in agricultural/disturbed habitats compared to natural habitats. Figure adapted from Kreiner et al., 2022, Science, Reprinted with permission from AAAS.

For example, a herbarium-based study identified signals of rapid adaptation in the flowering time genes of Sisymbrium austriacum subsp. chrysanthum, likely driven by standing variation during the initial stages of introduction (Vandepitte et al., 2014). In A. tuberculatus, agricultural selection has drawn on high-frequency variants that tend to historically be found in ancestry mapping to a southwestern lineage (Kreiner et al., 2022). In ragweed, chromosomal inversions associated with adaptive traits and with temporal and spatial signatures of selection predate the European invasion. This points to a key role of standing variation in repeated patterns of climate adaptation between the native North American and introduced European range, likely facilitated by multiple introductions of ragweed into Europe (Battlay et al., 2023; van Boheemen et al., 2017; Figure 3). In contrast to the outcrossing weeds A. tuberculatus and ragweed, a single lineage predominates in the introduced range of self-fertilizing Arabidopsis thaliana in North America. Genome sequencing of herbarium and modern specimens identified several de novo mutations that had risen to intermediate or high frequencies in this North American lineage. A subset of these changes could explain variation in traits that were thought to be important for adaptation in North America (Exposito-Alonso et al., 2018). These examples highlight the significance of both de novo variation and standing genetic variation in rapid adaptation, likely influenced by factors such as mating systems, invasion history and genetic trait architecture.

Genomic studies of natural history collections can allow selection coefficients to be estimated over time scales often inaccessible to most evolutionary studies in the field (Alves et al., 2019; Battlay et al., 2023; Kreiner et al., 2022). Spatially and temporally resolved genomic data in common ragweed allowed selection coefficients on

chromosomal inversions to be estimated, including one (hb-chr2) where inversion homozygotes have a 2.4% fitness advantage over the alternative homozygote in the introduced European range, resulting in a large frequency shift over time (Battlay et al., 2023; van Boheemen et al., 2017; Figure 3). Changes in the strength of selection over the course of the invasion can also be captured. A study of European rabbits in France, the UK and Australia identified strong parallel selection on standing variants following the release of the myxoma virus in Europe and Australia. However, as the virulence waned, the strength of selection on those variants declined (Alves et al., 2019). For the invasion of A. tuberculatus into agriculture, herbarium data allowed direct observation of shifting selection coefficients imposed over alterations to cropping practices across the last two centuries, the strongest of which were inferred for causal herbicide resistance mutations since the introduction of herbicides in the 1950s (Kreiner et al., 2022; Figure 4). Therefore, temporal data in invasive species offer opportunities to study the strength and tempo of selection over the course of an invasion, which can provide insights into eco-evolutionary dynamics.

Maladaptation 3.1.3

Aside from adaptive genetic changes, the dynamics of deleterious mutations can also determine invasion success and persistence. For example, the success of invasive species may be hindered by the accumulation of deleterious mutations at expansion fronts, a process known as expansion load (Peischl et al., 2013; Peischl & Excoffier, 2015). Under this model, expanding populations experience extreme genetic drift due to low density



FIGURE 3 Spatio-temporal genomic data allows for inference of the mode of adaptive convergence in latitudinal clines. Each column represents a putatively adaptive inversion, where logistic regression models with error bands represent the 95% CI of haploblock frequency (allele 1) against latitude across five time bins ranging from historical (dark purple) to modern (green) in *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*. Latitudinal clines have formed in Europe (introduced range) from pre-existing adaptive variation present in North America (native range). Figure adapted from Battlay et al., 2023, *Nature Communications*.



FIGURE 4 Direct inference of selection coefficients, along with their change through time, from temporal herbarium genomic data. Left panel depicts the allele frequency trajectories of contemporary agricultural alleles based on logistic regression of genotypes (smallest dots) observed in herbarium samples from natural (dark grey) or agricultural/disturbed (light grey) habitats. A segmented regression approach provided evidence for a shift in selection, as depicted by the vertical dashed line. Right panel depicts the strengths of selection governing herbicide resistance alleles across the landscape (all habitat types), with the logistic fits visualized and the maximum likelihood 95% CI provided for each segregating resistance allele (see legend). Figure adapted from Kreiner et al., 2022, *Science*; Reprinted and adapted with permission from AAAS.

(Edmonds et al., 2004), which in turn enables existing and new mutations to "surf" to high frequencies (Klopfstein et al., 2006; Travis et al., 2007). This can restrict range expansion (Peischl et al., 2015; Willi et al., 2018), particularly when deleterious mutations have small to intermediate effects (Henry et al., 2015; Peischl & Excoffier, 2015). Alternatively, range expansion post-introduction could facilitate the purging of deleterious alleles. Increased inbreeding due to population bottlenecks during range expansion allows strong selection to remove deleterious alleles, reducing the genetic load (Barrett & Charlesworth, 1991; Barringer et al., 2012; Pujol et al., 2009). Simulations using invasive wild populations of *Brachypodium sylvaticum* showed that intermittent gene flow among isolated, inbreeding populations during range expansion can increase genetic purging (Marchini et al., 2016), improving the fitness of range-edge populations. However, the prevalence of expansion load versus purging in invading populations is, to a large extent, unknown, and most evidence to date comes from historical expansions of human populations (Henn et al., 2016; Lohmueller et al., 2008; Peischl et al., 2013; 2018) or domesticated species (e.g., Renaut & Rieseberg, 2015). Future studies could utilize natural history collections and historical DNA from the early stages of invasions and from the native range to help resolve the dynamics between expansion load and genetic purging towards the range edge. Furthermore, historical DNA could also offer a potential avenue to study the role of deleterious variants in failed invasions where species are now extirpated in their non-native ranges (Duffy et al., 2000). Similarly, historical genomes could provide insight into the genetic factors contributing to long demographic lags that precede invasions (Aikio et al., 2010), advancing our understanding of maladaptation and genetic load during biological invasions

3.2 | Epigenetic-level applications

Another fascinating avenue for exploration involves the development of techniques for studying historical epigenomics. Indeed, there has long been speculation that epigenetic mechanisms may be one resolution for the genetic paradox of invasion (Mounger et al., 2021). Given that epimutations occur at higher rates than DNA mutations and can be stable over time (Schmitz et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2020), it is possible that epimutations could contribute to adaptation over short time scales, particularly in cases where genetic variation is limited, such as clonal invaders (Mounger et al., 2021). Employing sequencing approaches to identify DNA methylation patterns and changes in chromatin architecture in historical samples of invasive populations could allow the timing of epimutations in relation to DNA changes to be identified and their role, if any, in rapid adaptation to be explored. Further, epigenetic modifications can mediate environmental effects on phenotypes through gene expression and historical epigenomics may therefore allow ancestral patterns of gene expression to be inferred (e.g., Hahn et al., 2023; Rubi et al., 2020). These analyses could be coupled with direct reconstruction of gene expression profiles from natural history specimens (e.g., Marmol-Sanchez et al., 2023), which is now possible, thanks to the development of protocols for extracting RNA from preserved specimens (e.g., Speer et al., 2022). DNA methylation patterns have already been investigated in ancient and historical specimens (e.g., Gokhman et al., 2014; Gokhman et al., 2016; Hahn et al., 2020; Niiranen et al., 2022; Orlando et al., 2015; Pedersen et al., 2014; Rubi et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2014), indicating that this approach holds promise for unravelling the role of heritable epigenetic modifications in facilitating rapid adaptation to environmental shifts. However, the effects of sample age, preservation method, contamination and the sensitivity of epigenetic marks to the environment mean their feasibility will be

-<u>MOLECULAR ECOLOGY</u>-WILEY

dependent on the specimen type and preservation method (Hahn et al., 2020; Raxworthy & Smith, 2021).

3.3 | Trait-level applications

Characterizing the traits that facilitate the invasive spread of populations and species has been a fundamental goal of invasion biology for over six decades (Baker, 1955; Baker & Stebbins, 1965). While some patterns have emerged for particular traits since then (reviewed in Hodgins et al., 2018), the role of morphological, physiological and reproductive traits in invasion resoundingly shows strong dependence on taxonomic, spatial and temporal contexts (Hamilton et al., 2005). Leveraging temporal data across scales will therefore provide key insights into the dynamics of traits during the process of invasion, from establishment to spread. Indeed, the combination of contemporary field studies with museum collections has been instrumental in disentangling the link between leg-length evolution and the capacity for range expansion in a near 100-year invasion of cane toads in Australia (Phillips et al., 2006). However, a major challenge to the utility of museum and herbarium collections for evolutionary inference is plasticity-that specimens have not experienced the same environments and thus trait differences through space and time do not necessarily reflect evolutionary change. Wu and Colautti (2022) provide one path forward, implementing a modelling approach to explicitly control for phenotypic plasticity in phenological observations to highlight the importance of the early evolution of flowering time in purple loosestrife during its invasion across North America. Lang et al. (2022) provide another possible path, using functional genetic information to predict the genetic component of traits and thus their evolution through time and space. We expect that the further integration of modelling and genetic approaches with phenotypic data, herbarium and museum data will be key to future investigations of trait evolution during invasions and changing environments.

3.4 | Community-level applications

During biological invasions, introduced species may lose or gain enemies such as competitors, herbivores, or parasites (Cappuccino & Carpenter, 2005), as well as mutualists such as mycorrhizal fungi, pollinators or seed dispersers (Tedersoo et al., 2007). These changes in biotic interactions may determine whether invasive populations can successfully establish and spread in a new region (Richardson et al., 2000; Traveset & Richardson, 2014), and they may also prime invasive populations for rapid evolutionary change (Blossey & Nötzold, 1995). As such, understanding how invasive species interact with other members of biological communities is critically important. Specimens maintained in herbaria and museum collections have proven useful for understanding such interactions and their temporal dynamics (e.g., Beaulieu et al., 2018; Ivison et al., 2022; Schilthuizen et al., 2016; Zangerl & Berenbaum, 2005). In wild parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa*), for example, WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

Zangerl and Berenbaum (2005) relied on 152 years of herbarium records to document initial enemy loss and subsequent enemy gain during a biological invasion. Specimens from before 1889, when invasive populations of *P. sativa* were still free from interactions with a major native-range webworm herbivore, had no evidence of herbivory damage. As well, these early specimens showed reduced investment in chemical defence, consistent with a metabolic cost of defence traits and with selection favouring

less costly genotypes. After 1889, however, these patterns were reversed once the webworm herbivore was introduced, and the antagonistic interaction between these two species was re-established (Zangerl & Berenbaum, 2005).

Methods to quantify species interactions at the trait or organismal level can, however, be laborious and typically permit the study of only a small number of species (Banerjee et al., 2022). As an alternative, studies have highlighted the possibility of reconstructing



FIGURE 5 Pathogen presence over time in native and introduced common ragweed populations. (a, b) Prevalence of *Xanthomonas* spp., a bacterial pathogen of common ragweed, in modern genomic samples. The pie chart indicates the fraction of samples in which *Xanthomonas* spp. are present, with black indicating no *Xanthomonas* species being identified. The colour indicates how many different *Xanthomonas* species were identified at a location. (a) Modern North America. (b) Modern Europe. (c) Venn diagram of pathogens identified in modern European (green), modern North America (yellow), historical European (blue), and historical North America (orange) samples. (d) Venn diagram of pathogens identified in modern European (green) and modern NA (yellow) samples. € Venn diagram of pathogens identified in historical NA (orange) samples. Figure from Bieker et al., 2022 Science Advances.

interaction networks in complex biological communities using DNA (e.g., Banerjee et al., 2022; Valentini et al., 2009). Importantly, this technology can also be applied to ancient and historical samples. After properly accounting for the possibility of contamination related to the preparation or storage of specimens (Bieker et al., 2022), these methods can be used to reveal the diet of extinct animal species (Poinar et al., 1998) or to quantify pathogenic microbes from plant specimens that are maintained in herbaria (Kistler, Bieker, et al., 2020; Malmstrom et al., 2022; Yoshida et al., 2014). In invasive species such as the common ragweed, for example, metagenomic analyses of herbarium specimens helped reveal how pathogen dynamics shape invasion success (Bieker et al., 2022; Figure 5). In this species, escape from microbial pathogens that are common in the native range may have facilitated the early success of introduced populations. Novel pathogens that were subsequently encountered during invasive range expansion then represented a new source of natural selection that likely shaped genomic variation (Bieker et al., 2022). In support of this possibility, the genome of common ragweed was found to retain the signature of natural selection on genes known to be associated with defence response (Bieker et al., 2022). We anticipate that similar studies, which successfully connect changes in community composition to adaptive genetic variation, will become more common as DNA information is increasingly used to study species interactions in historical and contemporary populations.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In this review, we have highlighted how temporal collections can be leveraged to study biological invasions. Early studies predominantly used herbaria and museums to obtain occurrence data, with the goal of reconstructing the temporal and spatial progression of invasions (e.g., Reznicek & Catling, 1987; Sauer, 1957; Stuckey, 1980; Suarez et al., 2001). More recent studies have highlighted how these collections, as well as repeated sampling available from earlier research, can be used to obtain much more detailed, time-stratified information on genetic, epigenetic, phenotypic, and communitylevel changes that occur during invasions. This was made possible due to progress on two fronts. First, technologies for ancient and historical DNA have advanced tremendously since the earliest demonstration, nearly four decades ago, that genetic material can be retrieved from ancient specimens (Higuchi et al., 1984); reviewed in (Raxworthy & Smith, 2021; Willerslev & Cooper, 2005). These methods now allow entire genomes to be interrogated, with the potential to provide a detailed spatiotemporal record of genetic and epigenetic change (Hahn et al., 2020; Raxworthy & Smith, 2021; Rubi et al., 2020). Second, the digitization of temporal collections is accelerating, along with the development of data aggregators that facilitate analysis (Davis, 2023; Hedrick et al., 2020; Soltis, 2017). Thus, image data from a rapidly expanding number of specimens is available for research.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY - WILEY-

These temporal datasets have been effectively applied to answer long-standing questions on the origin of invasive species (e.g., Yoshida et al., 2013), on mechanisms of adaptation during novel-range colonization (e.g., Alves et al., 2019; Bieker et al., 2022; Vandepitte et al., 2014), or on the rate of genetic and phenotypic evolution during invasions (e.g., Alves et al., 2019; Kreiner et al., 2022; Wu & Colautti, 2022). Despite this progress, the potential of temporal collections in invasion biology has yet to be fully achieved. For example, while temporal data can reveal whether alleles derive from standing variation or new mutations (e.g., Alves et al., 2019; Exposito-Alonso et al., 2018), most studies to date have focused on adaptive variants, and more work is needed to explore the origin of deleterious variants. In this context, invasion genomics lags behind conservation genomics, where temporal collections are used to obtain baseline levels of genetic load (e.g., Feng et al., 2019; van der Valk et al., 2019). Also, screens for epigenetic marks in the genomes of archived specimens can provide clues on gene expression and epigenetic re-patterning (Hahn et al., 2020; Rubi et al., 2020), an area that has received limited investigation in the context of invasions (Mounger et al., 2021). Lastly, temporal collections can reveal changes in interspecific interactions during invasion (Bieker et al., 2022). In turn, this information is required to understand if rapid adaptation in invasive populations tends to occur more often in response to abiotic or biotic factors (Hodgins et al., 2018). With increased incorporation of temporal data across a range of invasive taxa, broad analyses on these topics will increasingly become possible, ultimately unlocking the full potential of invasions as natural evolutionary experiments.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the literature search and writing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank J. Freeland for the invitation to contribute this review and two anonymous reviewers for providing constructive comments.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Not applicable.

FUNDING INFORMATION

We would like to acknowledge funding support through a Killam Postdoctoral Fellowship and a Biodiversity Research Centre Bioinformatics Postdoctoral Fellowship (to JK) and a Biodiversity Research Centre Postdoctoral Fellowship (to FH). KAH acknowledges funding support from grants awarded through the Australian Research Council's Discovery Scheme. LHR acknowledges funding support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). Amy S. Kim ¹⁰ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2623-4118 Julia M. Kreiner ¹⁰ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8593-1394

REFERENCES

- Abbona, C. C., Neme, G., Johnson, J., Gil, A., Villalba, R., Nagaoka, L., Kim, T., & Wolverton, S. (2021). Sustainable harvest or resource depression? Using ancient DNA to study the population dynamics of guanaco in western Argentina during the Holocene. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 129, 105355.
- Abreu-Jr, E. F., Pavan, S. E., Tsuchiya, M. T. N., Wilson, D. E., Percequillo, A. R., & Maldonado, J. E. (2020). Spatiotemporal diversification of tree squirrels: Is the south American invasion and speciation really that recent and fast? *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *8*, 230.
- Aikio, S., Duncan, R. P., & Hulme, P. E. (2010). Lag-phases in alien plant invasions: Separating the facts from the artefacts. *Oikos*, 119(2), 370–378.
- Alaniz, A. J., Soares, A. O., Vergara, P. M., de Azevedo, E. B., & Grez, A. A. (2021). The failed invasion of *Harmonia axyridis* in the Azores, Portugal: Climatic restriction or wrong population origin? *Insect Science*, 28(1), 238–250.
- Alvarez, M. E., & Cushman, J. H. (2002). Community-level consequences of a plant invasion: Effects on three habitats in coastal California. *Ecological Applications: A Publication of the Ecological Society of America*, 12(5), 1434–1444.
- Alves, J. M., Carneiro, M., Cheng, J. Y., Lemos de Matos, A., Rahman, M. M., Loog, L., Campos, P. F., Wales, N., Eriksson, A., Manica, A., Strive, T., Graham, S. C., Afonso, S., Bell, D. J., Belmont, L., Day, J. P., Fuller, S. J., Marchandeau, S., Palmer, W. J., ... Jiggins, F. M. (2019). Parallel adaptation of rabbit populations to myxoma virus. *Science*, 363(6433), 1319–1326.
- Baker, H. G. (1955). Self-compatibility and establishment after "long-distance" dispersal. *Evolution*, 9(3), 347.
- Baker, H. G., & Stebbins, G. L. (1965). The genetics of colonizing species. Academic Press.
- Banerjee, P., Stewart, K. A., Antognazza, C. M., Bunholi, I. V., Deiner, K., Barnes, M. A., Saha, S., Verdier, H., Doi, H., Maity, J. P., Chan, M. W. Y., & Chen, C. Y. (2022). Plant-animal interactions in the era of environmental DNA (eDNA)—A review. *Environmental DNA (Hoboken, N.J.)*, 4(5), 987–999.
- Barrett, R. D. H., & Schluter, D. (2008). Adaptation from standing genetic variation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 23(1), 38–44.
- Barrett, S. C., & Charlesworth, D. (1991). Effects of a change in the level of inbreeding on the genetic load. *Nature*, 352(6335), 522–524.
- Barrett, S. C. H. (2015). Foundations of invasion genetics: The Baker and Stebbins legacy. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(9), 1927–1941.
- Barrett, S. C. H., Colautti, R. I., Dlugosch, K. M., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2016). Invasion genetics: The Baker and Stebbins legacy. John Wiley & Sons.
- Barringer, B. C., Kulka, E. A., & Galloway, L. F. (2012). Reduced inbreeding depression in peripheral relative to central populations of a monocarpic herb. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 25(6), 1200–1208.
- Basanta, M. D., Byrne, A. Q., Rosenblum, E. B., Piovia-Scott, J., & Parra-Olea, G. (2021). Early presence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Mexico with a contemporary dominance of the global panzootic lineage. *Molecular Ecology*, 30(2), 424–437.
- Battlay, P., Wilson, J., Bieker, V. C., Lee, C., Prapas, D., Petersen, B., Craig, S., van Boheemen, L., Scalone, R., de Silva, N. P., Sharma, A., Konstantinović, B., Nurkowski, K. A., Rieseberg, L. H., Connallon, T., Martin, M. D., & Hodgins, K. A. (2023). Large haploblocks underlie rapid adaptation in the invasive weed *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*. *Nature Communications*, 14(1), 1717.
- Beaulieu, C., Lavoie, C., & Proulx, R. (2018). Bookkeeping of insect herbivory trends in herbarium specimens of purple loosestrife (*Lythrum*

salicaria). Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, 374(1763), 20170398.

- Bieker, V. C., Battlay, P., Petersen, B., Sun, X., Wilson, J., Brealey, J. C., Bretagnolle, F., Nurkowski, K., Lee, C., Barreiro, F. S., Owens, G. L., Lee, J. Y., Kellner, F. L., Van Boheeman, L., Gopalakrishnan, S., Gaudeul, M., Mueller-Schaerer, H., Lommen, S., Karrer, G., ... Martin, M. D. (2022). Uncovering the genomic basis of an extraordinary plant invasion. *Science Advances*, 8(34), eabo5115.
- Blossey, B. (1999). Before, during and after: The need for long-term monitoring in invasive plant species management. *Biological Invasions*, 1(2), 301–311.
- Blossey, B., & Nötzold, R. (1995). Evolution of increased competitive ability in invasive nonindigenous plants: A hypothesis. *Journal of Ecology*, 83(5), 887–889.
- Bock, D. G., Baeckens, S., Pita-Aquino, J. N., Chejanovski, Z. A., Michaelides, S. N., Muralidhar, P., Lapiedra, O., Park, S., Menke, D. B., Geneva, A. J., Losos, J. B., & Kolbe, J. J. (2021). Changes in selection pressure can facilitate hybridization during biological invasion in a Cuban lizard. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 118(42), e2108638118.
- Bock, D. G., Caseys, C., Cousens, R. D., Hahn, M. A., Heredia, S. M., Hübner, S., Turner, K. G., Whitney, K. D., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2015). What we still don't know about invasion genetics. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(9), 2277–2297.
- Burrowes, P. A., & De la Riva, I. (2017). Unraveling the historical prevalence of the invasive chytrid fungus in the Bolivian Andes: Implications in recent amphibian declines. *Biological Invasions*, 19(6), 1781–1794.
- Cappuccino, N., & Carpenter, D. (2005). Invasive exotic plants suffer less herbivory than non-invasive exotic plants. *Biology Letters*, 1(4), 435–438.
- Chan, Y. L., Anderson, C. N. K., & Hadly, E. A. (2006). Bayesian estimation of the timing and severity of a population bottleneck from ancient DNA. *PLoS Genetics*, 2(4), e59.
- Chen, N., Juric, I., Cosgrove, E. J., Bowman, R., Fitzpatrick, J. W., Schoech, S. J., Clark, A. G., & Coop, G. (2019). Allele frequency dynamics in a pedigreed natural population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116(6), 2158–2164.
- Clavero, M., & Villero, D. (2013). Historical ecology and invasion biology: Long-term distribution changes of introduced freshwater species. *Bioscience*, 64(2), 145–153.
- Cohen, Z. P., François, O., & Schoville, S. D. (2022). Museum genomics of an agricultural super-Pest, the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (*Chrysomelidae*), provides evidence of adaptation from standing variation. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 62(6), 1827–1837.
- Colautti, R. I., & Barrett, S. C. H. (2013). Rapid adaptation to climate facilitates range expansion of an invasive plant. *Science*, 342(6156), 364–366.
- Colautti, R. I., & Lau, J. A. (2015). Contemporary evolution during invasion: Evidence for differentiation, natural selection, and local adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(9), 1999–2017.
- Coltman, D. W., Pilkington, J. G., Smith, J. A., & Pemberton, J. M. (1999). Parasite-mediated selection against inbred Soay sheep in a free-living Island populaton. *Evolution*, 53(4), 1259–1267.
- Cristescu, M. E. (2015). Genetic reconstructions of invasion history. Molecular Ecology, 24(9), 2212–2225.
- da Fonseca, R. R., Smith, B. D., Wales, N., Cappellini, E., Skoglund, P., Fumagalli, M., Samaniego, J. A., Carøe, C., Ávila-Arcos, M. C., Hufnagel, D. E., Korneliussen, T. S., Vieira, F. G., Jakobsson, M., Arriaza, B., Willerslev, E., Nielsen, R., Hufford, M. B., Albrechtsen, A., Ross-Ibarra, J., & Gilbert, M. T. P. (2015). The origin and evolution of maize in the southwestern United States. *Nature Plants*, 1, 14003.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY - WII F

11

- Daru, B. H., Park, D. S., Primack, R. B., Willis, C. G., Barrington, D. S., Whitfeld, T. J. S., Seidler, T. G., Sweeney, P. W., Foster, D. R., Ellison, A. M., & Davis, C. C. (2018). Widespread sampling biases in herbaria revealed from large-scale digitization. *The New Phytologist*, 217(2), 939–955.
- Davis, C. C. (2023). The herbarium of the future. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 38(5), 412-423.
- Dehasque, M., Ávila-Arcos, M. C., Díez-del-Molino, D., Fumagalli, M., Guschanski, K., Lorenzen, E. D., Malaspinas, A.-S., Marques-Bonet, T., Martin, M. D., Murray, G. G. R., Papadopulos, A. S. T., Therkildsen, N. O., Wegmann, D., Dalén, L., & Foote, A. D. (2020). Inference of natural selection from ancient DNA. *Evolution Letters*, 29, 94–108.
- Dlugosch, K. M., Anderson, S. R., Braasch, J., Cang, F. A., & Gillette, H. D. (2015). The devil is in the details: Genetic variation in introduced populations and its contributions to invasion. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(9), 2095–2111.
- Dlugosch, K. M., & Parker, I. M. (2008). Founding events in species invasions: Genetic variation, adaptive evolution, and the role of multiple introductions. *Molecular Ecology*, 17(1), 431–449.
- Duffy, M. A., Perry, L. J., Kearns, C. M., Weider, L. J., & Hairston, N. G., Jr. (2000). Paleogenetic evidence for a past invasion of Onondaga Lake, New York, by exotic *Daphnia curvirostris* using mtDNA from dormant eggs. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 45(6), 1409–1414.
- Edmonds, C. A., Lillie, A. S., & Cavalli-Sforza, L. L. (2004). Mutations arising in the wave front of an expanding population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(4), 975–979.
- Ellstrand, N. C., & Schierenbeck, K. A. (2000). Hybridization as a stimulus for the evolution of invasiveness in plants? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97(13), 7043–7050.
- Estoup, A., Ravigné, V., Hufbauer, R., Vitalis, R., Gautier, M., & Facon, B. (2016). Is there a genetic paradox of biological invasion? Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 47(1), 51–72.
- Exposito-Alonso, M., Becker, C., Schuenemann, V. J., Reiter, E., Setzer, C., Slovak, R., Brachi, B., Hagmann, J., Grimm, D. G., Chen, J., Busch, W., Bergelson, J., Ness, R. W., Krause, J., Burbano, H. A., & Weigel, D. (2018). The rate and potential relevance of new mutations in a colonizing plant lineage. *PLoS Genetics*, 14(2), e1007155.
- Feng, S., Fang, Q., Barnett, R., Li, C., Han, S., Kuhlwilm, M., Zhou, L., Pan, H., Deng, Y., Chen, G., Gamauf, A., Woog, F., Prys-Jones, R., Marques-Bonet, T., Gilbert, M. T. P., & Zhang, G. (2019). The genomic footprints of the fall and recovery of the crested ibis. *Current Biology*, 29(2), 340–349.e7.
- Fournier, D., & Aron, S. (2021). Hybridization and invasiveness in social insects—The good, the bad and the hybrid. Current Opinion in Insect Science, 46, 1–9.
- Gokhman, D., Lavi, E., Prüfer, K., Fraga, M. F., Riancho, J. A., Kelso, J., Pääbo, S., Meshorer, E., & Carmel, L. (2014). Reconstructing the DNA methylation maps of the Neandertal and the Denisovan. *Science*, 344(6183), 523–527.
- Gokhman, D., Meshorer, E., & Carmel, L. (2016). Epigenetics: it's getting old. Past meets future in paleoepigenetics. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(4), 290–300.
- Grinnell, J. (1919). The english sparrow has arrived in death valley: An experiment in nature. *The American Naturalist*, 53(628), 468-472.
- Gravuer, K., Sullivan, J. J., Williams, P. A., & Duncan, R. P. (2008). Strong human association with plant invasion success for Trifolium introductions to New Zealand. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105(17), 6344–6349.
- Grosser, S., Rawlence, N. J., Anderson, C. N. K., Smith, I. W. G., Scofield, R. P., & Waters, J. M. (2016). Invader or resident? Ancient-DNA reveals rapid species turnover in New Zealand little penguins. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences/The Royal Society*, 283, 20152879.

- Gutaker, R. M., & Burbano, H. A. (2017). Reinforcing plant evolutionary genomics using ancient DNA. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 36, 38-45.
- Hahn, E. E., Grealy, A., Alexander, M., & Holleley, C. E. (2020). Museum Epigenomics: Charting the future by unlocking the past. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *35*(4), 295–300.
- Hahn, E. E., Stiller, J., Alexander, M. R., Grealy, A., Taylor, J. M., Jackson, N., Frere, C. H., & Holleley, C. E. (2023). Century-old chromatin architecture preserved with formaldehyde. *BioRxiv*, 2023-07.
- Hamilton, M. A., Murray, B. R., Cadotte, M. W., Hose, G. C., Baker, A. C., Harris, C. J., & Licari, D. (2005). Life-history correlates of plant invasiveness at regional and continental scales. *Ecology Letters*, 8(10), 1066–1074.
- Haubrock, P. J., & Soto, I. (2023). Valuing the information hidden in true long-term data for invasion science. *Biological Invasions*, 25(8), 2385–2394.
- Heberling, J. M. (2022). Herbaria as big data sources of plant traits. International Journal of Plant Sciences, 183(2), 87–118.
- Hedrick, B. P., Heberling, J. M., Meineke, E. K., Turner, K. G., Grassa, C. J., Park, D. S., Kennedy, J., Clarke, J. A., Cook, J. A., Blackburn, D. C., Edwards, S. V., & Davis, C. C. (2020). Digitization and the future of natural history collections. *Bioscience*, 70(3), 243–251.
- Henn, B. M., Botigué, L. R., Peischl, S., Dupanloup, I., Lipatov, M., Maples, B. K., Martin, A. R., Musharoff, S., Cann, H., Snyder, M. P., Excoffier, L., Kidd, J. M., & Bustamante, C. D. (2016). Distance from sub-Saharan Africa predicts mutational load in diverse human genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States* of America, 113(4), E440–E449.
- Henry, R. C., Bartoń, K. A., & Travis, J. M. J. (2015). Mutation accumulation and the formation of range limits. *Biology Letters*, 11(1), 20140871.
- Higuchi, R., Bowman, B., Freiberger, M., Ryder, O. A., & Wilson, A. C. (1984). DNA sequences from the quagga, an extinct member of the horse family. *Nature*, *312*(5991), 282–284.
- Hodgins, K. A., Bock, D. G., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2018). Trait evolution in invasive species. Annual Plant Reviews Online, 1(2), 459–496.
- Holmes, M. W., Hammond, T. T., Wogan, G. O. U., Walsh, R. E., LaBarbera, K., Wommack, E. A., Martins, F. M., Crawford, J. C., Mack, K. L., Bloch, L. M., & Nachman, M. W. (2016). Natural history collections as windows on evolutionary processes. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(4), 864–881.
- Ivison, K., Speed, J. D. M., Prestø, T., & Dawson, W. (2022). Testing enemy release of non-native plants across time and space using herbarium specimens in Norway. *The Journal of Ecology*, 111(2), 864-881.
- James, M. E., Brodribb, T., Wright, I. J., Rieseberg, L. H., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2023). Replicated evolution in plants. *Annual Review* of Plant Biology, 74, 697–725.
- Jiang, H., Wang, L., Merlin, M. D., Clarke, R. C., Pan, Y., Zhang, Y., Xiao, G., & Ding, X. (2016). Ancient cannabis burial shroud in a central Eurasian cemetery. *Economic Botany*, 70(3), 213–221.
- Johnson, K. R., Owens, I. F. P., & Global Collection Group. (2023). A global approach for natural history museum collections. *Science*, 379(6638), 1192–1194.
- Johnston, R. F., & Selander, R. K. (1964). House sparrows: Rapid evolution of races in North America. *Science*, 144(3618), 548-550.
- Keller, S. R., & Taylor, D. R. (2008). History, chance and adaptation during biological invasion: Separating stochastic phenotypic evolution from response to selection. *Ecology Letters*, 11(8), 852–866.
- Kistler, L., Bieker, V. C., Martin, M. D., Pedersen, M. W., Ramos Madrigal, J., & Wales, N. (2020). Ancient plant genomics in archaeology, herbaria, and the environment. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 71, 605–629.
- Kistler, L., Thakar, H. B., VanDerwarker, A. M., Domic, A., Bergström, A., George, R. J., Harper, T. K., Allaby, R. G., Hirth, K., & Kennett,

articles

are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

-WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

D. J. (2020). Archaeological central American maize genomes suggest ancient gene flow from South America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117(52), 33124–33129.

- Klopfstein, S., Currat, M., & Excoffier, L. (2006). The fate of mutations surfing on the wave of a range expansion. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 23(3), 482–490.
- Kreiner, J. M., Latorre, S. M., Burbano, H. A., Stinchcombe, J. R., Otto, S. P., Weigel, D., & Wright, S. I. (2022). Rapid weed adaptation and range expansion in response to agriculture over the past two centuries. *Science*, 378(6624), 1079–1085.
- Kueffer, C. (2017). Plant invasions in the Anthropocene. *Science*, 358(6364), 724-725.
- Lalueza-Fox, C. (2022). Museomics. Current Biology, 32(21), R1214-R1215.
- Lambrinos, J. G. (2004). How interactions between ecology and evolution influence contemporary invasion dynamics. *Ecology*, 85(8), 2061–2070.
- Lang, P. L. M., Erberich, J. M., Lopez, L., Weiß, C. L., Amador, G., Fung, H. F., Latorre, S. M., Lasky, J. R., Burbano, H. A., Expósito-Alonso, M., & Bergmann, D. (2022). Century-long timelines of herbarium genomes predict plant stomatal response to climate change. *bioRxiv*. 2022–10.
- Lang, P. L. M., Willems, F. M., Scheepens, J. F., Burbano, H. A., & Bossdorf, O. (2019). Using herbaria to study global environmental change. *The New Phytologist*, 221(1), 110–122.
- Latombe, G., Pyšek, P., Jeschke, J. M., Blackburn, T. M., Bacher, S., Capinha, C., Costello, M. J., Fernández, M., Gregory, R. D., Hobern, D., Hui, C., Jetz, W., Kumschick, S., McGrannachan, C., Pergl, J., Roy, H. E., Scalera, R., Squires, Z. E., Wilson, J. R. U., ... McGeoch, M. A. (2017). A vision for global monitoring of biological invasions. *Biological Conservation*, 213, 295–308.
- Lee, C. E. (2002). Evolutionary genetics of invasive species. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 17(8), 386–391.
- Lees, D. C., Lack, H. W., Rougerie, R., Hernandez-Lopez, A., Raus, T., Avtzis, N. D., Augustin, S., & Lopez-Vaamonde, C. (2011). Tracking origins of invasive herbivores through herbaria and archival DNA: The case of the horse-chestnut leaf miner. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9(6), 322–328.
- Lohmueller, K. E., Indap, A. R., Schmidt, S., Boyko, A. R., Hernandez, R. D., Hubisz, M. J., Sninsky, J. J., White, T. J., Sunyaev, S. R., Nielsen, R., Clark, A. G., & Bustamante, C. D. (2008). Proportionally more deleterious genetic variation in European than in African populations. *Nature*, 451(7181), 994–997.
- Maayan, I., Reynolds, R. G., Goodman, R. M., Hime, P. M., Bickel, R., Luck, E. A., & Losos, J. B. (2022). Fixation and preservation contribute to distortion in vertebrate museum specimens: A 10-year study with the lizard Anolis sagrei. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. Linnean Society of London, 136(3), 443–454.
- Malmstrom, C. M., Martin, M. D., & Gagnevin, L. (2022). Exploring the emergence and evolution of plant pathogenic microbes using historical and paleontological sources. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 60, 187–209.
- Marchini, G. L., Sherlock, N. C., Ramakrishnan, A. P., Rosenthal, D. M., & Cruzan, M. B. (2016). Rapid purging of genetic load in a metapopulation and consequences for range expansion in an invasive plant. *Biological Invasions*, 18(1), 183–196.
- Marmol-Sanchez, E., Fromm, B., Oskolkov, N., Pochon, Z., Kalogeropoulos, P., Eriksson, E., Biryukova, I., Sekar, V., Ersmark, E., Andersson, B., Dalen, L., & Friedlander, M. (2023). Historical RNA expression profiles from the extinct Tasmanian tiger. *Genome Research*, 33, 1299–1316.
- Moran, B. M., Payne, C., Langdon, Q., Powell, D. L., Brandvain, Y., & Schumer, M. (2021). The genomic consequences of hybridization. *eLife*, 10, e69016.

- Mounger, J., Ainouche, M. L., Bossdorf, O., Cavé-Radet, A., Li, B., Parepa, M., Salmon, A., Yang, J., & Richards, C. L. (2021). Epigenetics and the success of invasive plants. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 376, 20200117.
- Muhlfeld, C. C., Kovach, R. P., Jones, L. A., Al-Chokhachy, R., Boyer, M. C., Leary, R. F., Lowe, W. H., Luikart, G., & Allendorf, F. W. (2014). Invasive hybridization in a threatened species is accelerated by climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(7), 620–624.
- Niiranen, L., Leciej, D., Edlund, H., Bernhardsson, C., Fraser, M., Quinto, F. S., Herzig, K.-H., Jakobsson, M., Walkowiak, J., & Thalmann, O. (2022). Epigenomic modifications in modern and ancient genomes. *Genes*, 13(2), 178.
- North, H. L., McGaughran, A., & Jiggins, C. D. (2021). Insights into invasive species from whole-genome resequencing. *Molecular Ecology*, 30(23), 6289–6308.
- Orlando, L., Gilbert, M. T. P., & Willerslev, E. (2015). Reconstructing ancient genomes and epigenomes. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 16(7), 395-408.
- Orr, H. A. (1998). The population genetics of adaptation: The distribution of factors fixed during adaptive evolution. *Evolution*, *52*(4), 935-949.
- Pedersen, J. S., Valen, E., Velazquez, A. M. V., Parker, B. J., Rasmussen, M., Lindgreen, S., Lilje, B., Tobin, D. J., Kelly, T. K., Vang, S., Andersson, R., Jones, P. A., Hoover, C. A., Tikhonov, A., Prokhortchouk, E., Rubin, E. M., Sandelin, A., Gilbert, M. T. P., Krogh, A., ... Orlando, L. (2014). Genome-wide nucleosome map and cytosine methylation levels of an ancient human genome. *Genome Research*, 24(3), 454–466.
- Peischl, S., Dupanloup, I., Foucal, A., Jomphe, M., Bruat, V., Grenier, J.-C., Gouy, A., Gilbert, K. J., Gbeha, E., Bosshard, L., Hip-Ki, E., Agbessi, M., Hodgkinson, A., Vézina, H., Awadalla, P., & Excoffier, L. (2018). Relaxed selection during a recent human expansion. *Genetics*, 208(2), 763–777.
- Peischl, S., Dupanloup, I., Kirkpatrick, M., & Excoffier, L. (2013). On the accumulation of deleterious mutations during range expansions. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(24), 5972–5982.
- Peischl, S., & Excoffier, L. (2015). Expansion load: Recessive mutations and the role of standing genetic variation. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(9), 2084–2094.
- Peischl, S., Kirkpatrick, M., & Excoffier, L. (2015). Expansion load and the evolutionary dynamics of a species range. *The American Naturalist*, 185(4), E81–E93.
- Pérez-Escobar, O. A., Bellot, S., Przelomska, N. A., Flowers, J. M., Nesbitt, M., Ryan, P., Gutaker, R. M., Gros-Balthazard, M., Wells, T., Kuhnhäuser, B. G., Schley, R., Bogarín, D., Dodsworth, S., Diaz, R., Lehmann, M., Petoe, P., Eiserhardt, W. L., Preick, M., Hofreiter, M., ... Baker, W. J. (2021). Molecular clocks and archeogenomics of a late period Egyptian date palm leaf reveal introgression from wild relatives and add timestamps on the domestication. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 38(10), 4475–4492.
- Pfennig, K. S., Kelly, A. L., & Pierce, A. A. (2016). Hybridization as a facilitator of species range expansion. Proceedings. Biological Sciences/ The Royal Society, 283(1839), 20161329.
- Phillips, B. L., Brown, G. P., Webb, J. K., & Shine, R. (2006). Invasion and the evolution of speed in toads. *Nature*, 439(7078), 803.
- Pinsky, M. L., Eikeset, A. M., Helmerson, C., Bradbury, I. R., Bentzen, P., Morris, C., Gondek-Wyrozemska, A. T., Baalsrud, H. T., Brieuc, M. S. O., Kjesbu, O. S., Godiksen, J. A., Barth, J. M. I., Matschiner, M., Stenseth, N. C., Jakobsen, K. S., Jentoft, S., & Star, B. (2021). Genomic stability through time despite decades of exploitation in cod on both sides of the Atlantic. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118(15), e2025453118.
- Poinar, H. N., Hofreiter, M., Spaulding, W. G., Martin, P. S., Stankiewicz, B. A., Bland, H., Evershed, R. P., Possnert, G., & Pääbo, S. (1998).

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY -WILEY

Molecular coproscopy: Dung and diet of the extinct ground sloth Nothrotheriops shastensis. Science, 281(5375), 402–406.

- Prentis, P. J., Wilson, J. R. U., Dormontt, E. E., Richardson, D. M., & Lowe, A. J. (2008). Adaptive evolution in invasive species. *Trends in Plant Science*, 13(6), 288–294.
- Pujol, B., Zhou, S.-R., Sanchez Vilas, J., & Pannell, J. R. (2009). Reduced inbreeding depression after species range expansion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(36), 15379–15383.
- Purugganan, M. D., & Fuller, D. Q. (2011). Archaeological data reveal slow rates of evolution during plant domestication. *Evolution*, 65(1), 171–183.
- Rasmussen, M., Li, Y., Lindgreen, S., Pedersen, J. S., Albrechtsen, A., Moltke, I., Metspalu, M., Metspalu, E., Kivisild, T., Gupta, R., Bertalan, M., Nielsen, K., Gilbert, M. T. P., Wang, Y., Raghavan, M., Campos, P. F., Kamp, H. M., Wilson, A. S., Gledhill, A., ... Willerslev, E. (2010). Ancient human genome sequence of an extinct Palaeo-Eskimo. *Nature*, 463(7282), 757-762.
- Rawlence, N. J., Kardamaki, A., Easton, L. J., Tennyson, A. J. D., Scofield, R. P., & Waters, J. M. (2017). Ancient DNA and morphometric analysis reveal extinction and replacement of New Zealand's unique black swans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284(1859), 20170876.
- Raxworthy, C. J., & Smith, B. T. (2021). Mining museums for historical DNA: Advances and challenges in museomics. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 36(11), 1049–1060.
- Reinke, B. A., Miller, D. A. W., & Janzen, F. J. (2019). What have long-term field studies taught us about population dynamics? *Annual Review* of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 50(1), 261–278.
- Renaut, S., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2015). The accumulation of deleterious mutations as a consequence of domestication and improvement in sunflowers and other Compositae crops. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(9), 2273–2283.
- Reznicek, A. A., & Catling, P. M. (1987). *Carex praegracilis* (Cyperaceae) in eastern North America: A remarkable case of rapid invasion. *Rhodora*, 89(858), 205–216.
- Richardson, D. M., Allsopp, N., D'Antonio, C. M., Milton, S. J., & Rejmánek, M. (2000). Plant invasions-the role of mutualisms. *Biological Reviews* of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 75(1), 65–93.
- Rollins, L. A., Woolnough, A. P., Fanson, B. G., Cummins, M. L., Crowley, T. M., Wilton, A. N., Sinclair, R., Butler, A., & Sherwin, W. B. (2016). Selection on mitochondrial variants occurs between and within individuals in an expanding invasion. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(4), 995–1007.
- Rollins, L. A., Woolnough, A. P., Sinclair, R., Mooney, N. J., & Sherwin, W. B. (2011). Mitochondrial DNA offers unique insights into invasion history of the common starling. *Molecular Ecology*, 20(11), 2307–2317.
- Rollins, L. A., Woolnough, A. P., Wilton, A. N., Sinclair, R. O. N., & Sherwin, W. B. (2009). Invasive species can't cover their tracks: Using microsatellites to assist management of starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*) populations in Western Australia. *Molecular Ecology*, 18(8), 1560–1573.
- Rubi, T. L., Knowles, L. L., & Dantzer, B. (2020). Museum epigenomics: Characterizing cytosine methylation in historic museum specimens. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 20(5), 1161–1170.
- Saltonstall, K. (2002). Cryptic invasion by a non-native genotype of the common reed, *Phragmites australis*, into North America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *99*(4), 2445–2449.
- Sauer, J. (1957). Recent migration and evolution of the dioecious amaranths. *Evolution*, 11(1), 11–31.
- Schilthuizen, M., Santos Pimenta, L. P., Lammers, Y., Steenbergen, P. J., Flohil, M., Beveridge, N. G. P., van Duijn, P. T., Meulblok, M. M., Sosef, N., van de Ven, R., Werring, R., Beentjes, K. K., Meijer, K., Vos, R. A., Vrieling, K., Gravendeel, B., Choi, Y., Verpoorte, R., Smit, C., & Beukeboom, L. W. (2016). Incorporation of an invasive plant into a native insect herbivore food web. *PeerJ*, *4*, e1954.

- Schmitz, R. J., Schultz, M. D., Lewsey, M. G., O'Malley, R. C., Urich, M. A., Libiger, O., Schork, N. J., & Ecker, J. R. (2011). Transgenerational epigenetic instability is a source of novel methylation variants. *Science*, 334(6054), 369–373.
- Seebens, H., Blackburn, T. M., Dyer, E. E., Genovesi, P., Hulme, P. E., Jeschke, J. M., Pagad, S., Pyšek, P., Winter, M., Arianoutsou, M., Bacher, S., Blasius, B., Brundu, G., Capinha, C., Celesti-Grapow, L., Dawson, W., Dullinger, S., Fuentes, N., Jäger, H., ... Essl, F. (2017). No saturation in the accumulation of alien species worldwide. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 14435.
- Sherpa, S., & Després, L. (2021). The evolutionary dynamics of biological invasions: A multi-approach perspective. *Evolutionary Applications*, 14(6), 1463–1484.
- Slatkin, M., & Racimo, F. (2016). Ancient DNA and human history. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113(23), 6380–6387.
- Smith, O., Clapham, A. J., Rose, P., Liu, Y., Wang, J., & Allaby, R. G. (2014). Genomic methylation patterns in archaeological barley show de-methylation as a time-dependent diagenetic process. *Scientific Reports*, 4(1), 5559.
- Soltis, P. S. (2017). Digitization of herbaria enables novel research. *American Journal of Botany*, 104(9), 1281–1284.
- Speer, K. A., Hawkins, M. T., Flores, M. F. C., McGowen, M. R., Fleischer, R. C., Maldonado, J. E., Campana, M. G., & Muletz-Wolz, C. R. (2022). A comparative study of RNA yields from museum specimens, including an optimized protocol for extracting RNA from formalin-fixed specimens. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 10, 953131.
- Stuart, K. C., Sherwin, W. B., Austin, J. J., Bateson, M., Eens, M., Brandley, M. C., & Rollins, L. A. (2022). Historical museum samples enable the examination of divergent and parallel evolution during invasion. *Molecular Ecology*, 31(6), 1836–1852.
- Stuckey, R. L. (1980). Distributional history of Lythrum salicaria (purple loosestrife) in North America. Bartonia, 47, 3–20.
- Suarez, A. V., Holway, D. A., & Case, T. J. (2001). Patterns of spread in biological invasions dominated by long-distance jump dispersal: Insights from Argentine ants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 98(3), 1095–1100.
- Swarts, K., Gutaker, R. M., Benz, B., Blake, M., Bukowski, R., Holland, J., Kruse-Peeples, M., Lepak, N., Prim, L., Romay, M. C., Ross-Ibarra, J., Sanchez-Gonzalez, J. D. J., Schmidt, C., Schuenemann, V. J., Krause, J., Matson, R. G., Weigel, D., Buckler, E. S., & Burbano, H. A. (2017). Genomic estimation of complex traits reveals ancient maize adaptation to temperate North America. *Science*, *357*(6350), 512–515.
- Tedersoo, L., Suvi, T., Beaver, K., & Kõljalg, U. (2007). Ectomycorrhizal fungi of the Seychelles: Diversity patterns and host shifts from the native Vateriopsis seychellarum (Dipterocarpaceae) and Intsia bijuga (Caesalpiniaceae) to the introduced Eucalyptus robusta (Myrtaceae), but not Pinus caribea (Pinaceae). The New Phytologist, 175(2), 321–333.
- Tepolt, C. K., Grosholz, E. D., de Rivera, C. E., & Ruiz, G. M. (2022). Balanced polymorphism fuels rapid selection in an invasive crab despite high gene flow and low genetic diversity. *Molecular Ecology*, 31(1), 55–69.
- Thiers, B. M. (2021). Index Herbariorum. Annual Report. https://sweet gum.nybg.org/science/ih/
- Todesco, M., Pascual, M. A., Owens, G. L., Ostevik, K. L., Moyers, B. T., Hübner, S., Heredia, S. M., Hahn, M. A., Caseys, C., Bock, D. G., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2016). Hybridization and extinction. *Evolutionary Applications*, 9(7), 892–908.
- Traveset, A., & Richardson, D. M. (2014). Mutualistic interactions and biological invasions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 45*(1), 89–113.
- Travis, J. M. J., Münkemüller, T., Burton, O. J., Best, A., Dytham, C., & Johst, K. (2007). Deleterious mutations can surf to high densities on the wave front of an expanding population. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution, 24(10), 2334–2343.

-WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

- Turner, K. G., Hufbauer, R. A., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2014). Rapid evolution of an invasive weed. *The New Phytologist*, 202(1), 309-321.
- Valentini, A., Pompanon, F., & Taberlet, P. (2009). DNA barcoding for ecologists. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24(2), 110–117.
- van Boheemen, L. A., & Hodgins, K. A. (2020). Rapid repeatable phenotypic and genomic adaptation following multiple introductions. *Molecular Ecology*, 29(21), 4102–4117.
- van Boheemen, L. A., Lombaert, E., Nurkowski, K. A., Gauffre, B., Rieseberg, L. H., & Hodgins, K. A. (2017). Multiple introductions, admixture and bridgehead invasion characterize the introduction history of Ambrosia artemisiifolia in Europe and Australia. Molecular Ecology, 26(20), 5421–5434.
- van der Valk, T., Díez-Del-Molino, D., Marques-Bonet, T., Guschanski, K., & Dalén, L. (2019). Historical genomes reveal the genomic consequences of recent population decline in eastern gorillas. *Current Biology: CB, 29*(1), 165–170.e6.
- Vandepitte, K., de Meyer, T., Helsen, K., van Acker, K., Roldán-Ruiz, I., Mergeay, J., & Honnay, O. (2014). Rapid genetic adaptation precedes the spread of an exotic plant species. *Molecular Ecology*, 23(9), 2157–2164.
- Wales, N., Akman, M., Watson, R. H. B., Sánchez Barreiro, F., Smith, B. D., Gremillion, K. J., Gilbert, M. T. P., & Blackman, B. K. (2019). Ancient DNA reveals the timing and persistence of organellar genetic bottlenecks over 3,000 years of sunflower domestication and improvement. Evolutionary Applications, 12(1), 38–53.
- Wallingford, P. D., Morelli, T. L., Allen, J. M., Beaury, E. M., Blumenthal, D. M., Bradley, B. A., Dukes, J. S., Early, R., Fusco, E. J., Goldberg, D. E., Ibáñez, I., Laginhas, B. B., Vilà, M., & Sorte, C. J. B. (2020).
 Adjusting the lens of invasion biology to focus on the impacts of climate-driven range shifts. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(5), 398–405.
- Whitney, K. D., & Gabler, C. A. (2008). Rapid evolution in introduced species, "invasive traits" and recipient communities: Challenges for predicting invasive potential. *Diversity & Distributions*, 14(4), 569–580.
- Willerslev, E., & Cooper, A. (2005). Ancient DNA. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 272(1558), 3–16.
- Willi, Y., Fracassetti, M., Zoller, S., & Van Buskirk, J. (2018). Accumulation of mutational load at the edges of a species range. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution, 35(4), 781–791.
- Wu, Y., & Colautti, R. I. (2022). Evidence for continent-wide convergent evolution and stasis throughout 150 y of a biological invasion.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 119(18), e2107584119.

- Xu, G., Lyu, J., Li, Q., Liu, H., Wang, D., Zhang, M., Springer, N. M., Ross-Ibarra, J., & Yang, J. (2020). Evolutionary and functional genomics of DNA methylation in maize domestication and improvement. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 5539.
- Yang, Y., Heberling, J. M., Primack, R. B., & Lee, B. R. (2022). Herbarium specimens may provide biased flowering phenology estimates for dioecious species. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 183(9), 777–783.
- Yoshida, K., Burbano, H. A., Krause, J., Thines, M., Weigel, D., & Kamoun, S. (2014). Mining herbaria for plant pathogen genomes: Back to the future. *PLoS Pathogens*, 10(4), e1004028.
- Yoshida, K., Schuenemann, V. J., Cano, L. M., Pais, M., Mishra, B., Sharma, R., Lanz, C., Martin, F. N., Kamoun, S., Krause, J., Thines, M., Weigel, D., & Burbano, H. A. (2013). The rise and fall of the *Phytophthora infestans* lineage that triggered the Irish potato famine. *eLife*, 2, e00731.
- Yu, H., Jamieson, A., Hulme-Beaman, A., Conroy, C. J., Knight, B., Speller, C., Al-Jarah, H., Eager, H., Trinks, A., Adikari, G., Baron, H., Böhlendorf-Arslan, B., Bohingamuwa, W., Crowther, A., Cucchi, T., Esser, K., Fleisher, J., Gidney, L., Gladilina, E., ... Orton, D. (2022). Palaeogenomic analysis of black rat (*Rattus rattus*) reveals multiple European introductions associated with human economic history. *Nature Communications*, 13(1), 2399.
- Zangerl, A. R., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2005). Increase in toxicity of an invasive weed after reassociation with its coevolved herbivore. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 102(43), 15529–15532.
- Zenni, R. D., & Nuñez, M. A. (2013). The elephant in the room: The role of failed invasions in understanding invasion biology. *Oikos*, 122(6), 801–815.

How to cite this article: Kim, A. S., Kreiner, J. M., Hernández, F., Bock, D. G., Hodgins, K. A., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2023). Temporal collections to study invasion biology. *Molecular Ecology*, 00, 1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17176</u>

KIM ET AL.